

## **Independent High Risk AGP Panel**

**Second Meeting on 10 August 2020**

**14:00-16:00**

**Skype Teleconference**

### **Welcome and Introductions**

1. Professor Jacqui Reilly welcomed attendees to the second meeting of the Independent High-Risk Aerosol-Generating Procedure (AGP) Panel.
2. The attendees were as follows: Jacqui Reilly (Chair), Jennie Wilson (Deputy Chair), Gail Carson, Mel Burden, David Farren, Shaun Fitzgerald, David Jenkins, Nick Murch, Martin Llewelyn, Cariad Evan, Alistair Leanord, Viviana Finistrella (Secretariat), Jordan Charlesworth (Secretariat), Kerry Broom (Secretariat).

### **Review and approval of minutes and actions**

3. The minutes were agreed as factually correct with no further comments. Actions from the previous meeting were updated.

### **Agreement of ToR, updated based on panel feedback**

4. The Chair discussed the changes made to the Terms of Reference (ToR) based on feedback from and following the first meeting and further changes were suggested, to be actioned by the secretariat.

### **Discussion of typography for evidence consideration and panel output generation**

5. DJ gave an overview of his paper discussing the types and availability of evidence.
6. There was discussion about how evidence could be graded as part of panel outputs.
7. The characteristics of droplets and aerosols (for example, particle sedimentation as a function of time) rather than exact particle size were agreed to be significant and incorporated into the paper. It was agreed that some contextualisation would be included in the paper.

### **Discussion of extant requests that have been deemed in-scope for panel consideration. Agree priorities and workplan**

8. The Chair noted that the majority of requests received thus far lie below the current threshold for consideration as an AGP, based on the WHO definition and will be considered as part of a literature review to evaluate the evidence on these procedures.

## **Discussion of approach to the review of cough and other non AGPs and international work**

9. Updates were provided on the progress of the aforementioned evidence review and international scoping exercise. For the former, search terms and available resourcing to carry out the literature search were discussed. A list of countries to be included in the international review had been produced to facilitate the latter.